Speech Synthesis

Simon King University of Edinburgh



Unit selection

Independent Feature Formulation (IFF) target cost function

What you should already know

- selecting waveform fragments from a database of natural speech
- target cost
- join cost
- search





What you should already know

- selecting waveform fragments from a database of natural speech
- <u>target cost</u>
- join cost
- search

the target cost measures **mismatch** between

a target unit *and* a candidate unit

A target cost function based only on linguistic features The independent feature formulation (IFF)

- Let's start with the simplest form of target cost function
- **do not match** those of the corresponding target unit
- Motivation is simple
 - An exactly-matching candidate will have a cost of zero (= no mismatch)
- The cost is a prediction of 'how bad' the candidate would sound, if used here

• It will simply **count** the number of **linguistic features** in the context of the candidate that

• The more mismatched the context is between candidate and target, the higher the cost



The IFF target cost function



The IFF target cost function



In the database, we have a recording of the sentence "A car."

Festival's multisyn IFF target cost

feature

stress

syllable position

word position

POS

phrase position

left phonetic contex

right phonetic conte

bad FO

duration outlier

	weight
	10
	5
	5
	6
	7
×t	4
ext	3
	25
	10

Example calculation of IFF target cost for two competing candidates

feature	weight	target	candidate I	candidate 2
stress	10	primary	primary	none
syllable position	5	coda	onset	coda
word position	5	fınal	final	final
POS	6	noun	noun	verb
phrase position	7	initial	initial	initial
left context	4	[b]	[b]	[v]
right context	3	[s]		[s]

Another example, this time for **diphone** units





"Simon"

sil-s s-ay ay-m m-ax ax-n n-sil





Wait ... how is prosody "created" using an IFF target cost function?

- With **no** explicit predictions of **any** acoustic properties, this is a reasonable question
- Answer:
 - candidates from appropriate contexts, when selected, will have appropriate prosody • the join cost will ensure that F0 is continuous
- So, we simply need to make sure the **linguistic features** capture sufficient contextual information that is relevant to prosody
 - e.g., stress status, position in phrase
- Optional: if our front end predicts symbolic prosodic features (e.g., ToBI accents and boundary tones), then we can use them in the target cost function

Unit selection

Acoustic Space Formulation (ASF) target cost function

- Unit selection as we understand it so far
- run text processor (front end)
- construct target sequence
- retrieve candidates from database
- compute IFF target costs
- compute join costs
- perform search
- Now, a more sophisticated target cost
- predict **acoustic properties** of target units
- compare these with actual acoustic properties of candidates



- Unit selection as we understand it so far
- run text processor (front end)
- construct target sequence
- retrieve candidates from database
- compute IFF target costs
- compute join costs
- perform search
- Now, a more sophisticated target cost
- predict **acoustic properties** of target units
- compare these with actual acoustic properties of candidates

by comparing linguistic features

weakness: it is possible for two units with differing (mismatched) features to **sound very similar**

solution: compare how units sound



Figure 16.6 from Paul Taylor "Text-to-speech synthesis", 2009, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, ISBN 0521899273

some other acoustic property

Predicting acoustic properties of the target units

- Think of this as 'partial synthesis'
 - do not need to predict **all** acoustic properties
 - do not need to actually generate a speech waveform

• just need to predict sufficient properties to allow comparison with candidate units







What exactly are the acoustic features?

- We have choices:
 - simple acoustic properties such as F0, duration and energy
 - a more detailed specification such as the spectral envelope (e.g., as cepstral coefficients)
- It will only work if we can **accurately predict** these properties from the linguistic features • how about predicting a complete acoustic specification?





Combining IFF and ASF into a single target cost function

- Many actual systems actually use a mixed IFF + ASF target cost function
 - some sub-costs use linguistic features, others use acoustic features
 - each is weighted appropriately
- Why use **both types** of sub-cost?
 - ASF escapes some of the sparsity problems inherent in IFF
 - but our acoustic properties do not capture all possible acoustic variation
 - e.g., voice quality, such as phrase-final creaky voice
 - and, of course, our predictions of acoustic properties will contain **errors**

- Summary of unit selection design choices
 - Unit type
 - Target cost
 - Join cost
- Search
- Database



- Summary of unit selection design choices
- Unit type
- Target cost
- Join cost
- Search
- Database

- Summary of unit selection design choices
 - Unit type
 - Target cost
 - Join cost
 - Search
 - Database



Often diphones or half-phones. Use the 'zero join cost trick' to effectively use (much) larger units

- Summary of unit selection design choices
- Unit type
- Target cost
- Join cost
- Search
- Database

Pure ASF, involving 'partial synthesis' (must decide which acoustic features to predict)

Mixed IFF + ASF

Pure IFF only using linguistic features

- Summary of unit selection design choices
 - Unit type
 - Target cost
 - Join cost
 - Search
 - Database

using signal processing.



- Usually includes F0, energy and spectral envelope
- We have not mentioned optional smoothing of joins

- Summary of unit selection design choices
 - Unit type
 - Target cost
 - Join cost
 - Search
 - Database

Efficient dynamic programming

As in Automatic Speech Recognition,



can use **pruning** to make it as fast as needed



- Summary of unit selection design choices
 - Unit type
- Target cost
- Join cost
- Search
- Database

Efficient dynamic programming

As in Automatic Speech Recognition,



can use **pruning** to make it as fast as needed

- Summary of unit selection design choices
- Unit type
- Target cost
- Join cost
- Search
- Database



- How to create the **database**
- what to record
- how to record it
- how to annotate it
- Later, *after* we learn about statistical parametric speech synthesis
 - we can use that statistical **model** in the ASF target cost function of a unit selection synthesiser
 - this is called **hybrid** synthesis



- How to create the **database**
 - what to record
 - how to record it
 - how to annotate it
- Later, *after* we learn about statistical parametric speech synthesis
 - we can use that statistical **model** in the ASF target cost function of a unit selection synthesiser
 - this is called **hybrid** synthesis

Knowing what **features** our target cost requires, will help us design a suitable database of recorded speech

- How to create the **database**
 - what to record
 - how to record it
- how to annotate it
- Later, *after* we learn about statistical parametric speech synthesis
 - we can use that statistical **model** in the ASF target cost function of a unit selection synthesiser
 - this is called **hybrid** synthesis

We will have to annotate the database with the **features** that our target cost requires

- How to create the **database**
 - what to record
 - how to record it
 - how to annotate it
- Later, after we learn about statistical parametric speech synthesis
 - we can use that statistical **model** in the ASF target cost function of a unit selection synthesiser
 - this is called **hybrid** synthesis



Figure I from Y. Qian, F. K. Soong and Z. J. Yan 'A Unified Trajectory Filing Approach to High Quality Speech Rendering'' IEEE Trans. Audio, Speech, and Language Proc. 21 (2), pp. 280-290, 2013. DOI: 10.1109/TASL.2012.2221460

